Application No. Applicant(s) 09/661.402 KROON, JEFFREY Interview Summary Examiner **Art Unit** 2662 **Dmitry Levitan** All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Dmitry Levitan. (4) Hassan Kirou (SPE) (2) PATRICK MCPHURSON (App. rep) Date of Interview: 7/28/04 Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference 2) applicant's representative] c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____ Claim(s) discussed: 1 hm d & Identification of prior art discussed: Phillips Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: ______. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. * Attorney of record explained how he sees the claims as being different from the Phillips reference - manily in he use of the queue of the radio source. Examiner will seview the sejection and the seference in light of the discussion and will advise Opplicant as to whether the sejection will be maintained or not

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required